arXiv:1408.2235v1 [math.GR] 10 Aug 2014

Second Countable Virtually Free Pro-p Groups whose Torsion Elements have Finite Centralizer

J.W. MacQuarrie*, P.A. Zalesskii†‡

August 12, 2014

MSC classification: 20E18
Key-words: Profinite group, pro-p group, HNN-extension, profinite module.

Abstract

A second countable virtually free pro-p group all of whose torsion elements have finite centralizer is the free pro-p product of finite p-groups and a free pro-p factor. The proof explores a connection between p-adic representations of finite p-groups and virtually free pro-p groups. In order to utilize this connection, we first prove a version of a remarkable theorem of A. Weiss for infinitely generated profinite modules that allows us to detect freeness of profinite modules. The proof now proceeds using techniques developed in the combinatorial theory of profinite groups. Using an HNN-extension we embed our group into a semidirect product $F \rtimes K$ of a free pro-p group F and a finite p-group K that preserves the conditions on centralizers and such that every torsion element is conjugate to an element of K. We then show that the $\mathbb{Z}_p K$-module $F/[F,F]$ is free using the detection theorem mentioned above. This allows us to deduce the result for $F \rtimes K$, and hence for our original group, using the pro-p version of the Kurosh subgroup theorem.

1 Introduction

The objective of this paper is to give a complete description of a second countable virtually free pro-p group whose torsion elements have finite centralizer. The description is a generalization of the main theorem of [5], where the result was obtained in the finitely generated case. Note however that finite generation is a rather restrictive condition in Galois theory and that the finite centralizer condition for torsion elements arises naturally in the study of maximal pro-p Galois groups. In particular, D. Haran [4] (see also I. Efrat in [3] for a different

*Universidade Federal de Minas Gerais, john@mat.ufmg.br, corresponding author
†Universidade de Brasília, pz@mat.unb.br
‡Research partially supported by the Conselho Nacional de Desenvolvimento Científico e Tecnológico (CNPq)

proof, or [2, Proposition 19.4.3]) proved Theorem 1.1 in the case where G is an extension of a free pro-2 group with a group of order 2.

Our main result is the following:

Theorem 1.1 *Let G be a second countable virtually free pro-p group such that the centralizer of every torsion element in G is finite. Then G is a free pro-p product of subgroups that are finite or free pro-p.*

The proof of Theorem 1.1 explores a connection between p-adic representations of finite p-groups and virtually free pro-p groups. One of the main ingredients of the proof is the following result, which can be considered to be a first step towards a generalization of a remarkable theorem of A. Weiss [13, Theorem 2] to infinitely generated pro-p modules.

Theorem 1.2 *Let G be a finite p-group and let U be a profinite $\mathbb{Z}_pG$-lattice. Suppose that there is a normal subgroup N of G such that*

- *The restriction $U\downarrow_N$ is a free $\mathbb{Z}_pN$-module,*
- *The module U^N of N-fixed points is a free $\mathbb{Z}_p[G/N]$-module.*

Then U itself is a free $\mathbb{Z}_pG$-lattice.

The connection to representation theory cannot be used in a straightforward way, however. Indeed, if one factors out the commutator subgroup of a free open normal subgroup F then the G/F-module one obtains is, in general, not a free module. In order to apply Theorem 1.2, we first use pro-p HNN-extensions to embed G into a rather special virtually free pro-p group $\tilde{G}$, in which, after factoring out the commutator of a free open normal subgroup $\tilde{F}$, the obtained $\tilde{G}/\tilde{F}$-module is free. With the aid of this module we prove Theorem 1.1 for $\tilde{G}$ and apply the Kurosh subgroup theorem to deduce the result for G.

We note also that the second countability condition is essential: for bigger cardinality the result is not true, a counter example is available in [7, Section 4]. We use notation for profinite and pro-p groups from [9].

2 Modules

We prove in this section a detection theorem for infinitely generated profinite permutation modules over finite p-groups. Theorem 2.7 is inspired by Theorem 2 of the article [13] by A. Weiss and our proof follows his closely. The theorems in this section are of independent interest.

Let G be a finite group and R a profinite commutative ring. A profinite permutation RG-lattice U is a profinite RG-lattice (that is, a profinite RG-module that is free as a profinite R-module) with a profinite R-basis left invariant under the action of G on U.

A profinite permutation module is an inverse limit of permutation modules of finite rank (this can be seen by applying [9, Lemma 5.6.4] to the profinite R-basis of U), but this does not immediately tell us much about the structure of U from a practical point of view. For instance, there exist profinite modules for finite rings that do not possess indecomposable summands. So before we begin our investigation of permutational $\mathbb{Z}_pG$-lattices, we provide the general Theorem 2.2, which tells us in particular that a profinite permutation lattice is simply a product of indecomposable finitely generated permutation lattices.

We fix some notation that will remain in force for Proposition 2.1 and Theorem 2.2. Let R be a commutative local noetherian profinite ring, let G be a finite group and let $\{M_1, \ldots, M_s\}$ be a finite set of non-isomorphic finitely generated indecomposable left RG-modules. Set $M = \bigoplus_{i\in\{1,\ldots,s\}} M_i$ and let $E = \mathrm{End}_{RG}(M)$ be the endomorphism ring of M. The category $\mathrm{add}(M)$ has objects all finite direct sums of direct summands of M and morphisms as in the full module category. The category $\varprojlim \mathrm{add}(M)$ has objects all inverse limits of inverse systems in $\mathrm{add}(M)$. The full subcategory $\mathrm{Add}(M)$ of $\varprojlim \mathrm{add}(M)$ consists of all modules of the form

$$\bigoplus_{i\in\{1,\ldots,s\}} \prod_{\kappa_i} M_i$$

where κ_i is a cardinal number. Finally, denote by $\mathrm{Proj}(E)$ the category of profinite projective *right* E-modules.

The following proposition is dual to [12, Proposition 2.1], but since duality over the given coefficient rings can be rather complicated, we choose to present a direct proof.

Proposition 2.1 *The categories* $\mathrm{Add}(M)$ *and* $\mathrm{Proj}(E)$ *are equivalent.*

Proof: We make frequent use of how Hom commutes with limits, products and sums, see [9, Lemma 5.1.4] (or more explicitly, [11, §2.3]). Throughout, the notation (X, Y) will be used as short-hand for $\mathrm{Hom}_{RG}(X, Y)$. Define a functor $\Gamma : \mathrm{Add}(M) \to \mathrm{Proj}(E)$ by $U \mapsto (M, U)$ in the usual way. The image (M, U) is indeed projective: if $U = \varprojlim_i U_i$ for modules U_i in $\mathrm{add}(M)$ then $(M, U) = \varprojlim_i (M, U_i)$ and each (M, U_i) is easily seen to be projective. By [9, Lemma 5.4.1], to check the projectivity of (M, U) we only need to complete diagrams of the form

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & & (M,U) \\ & & \downarrow \\ X & \twoheadrightarrow & Y \end{array}$$

with the modules X, Y finite, in which case the given map from (M, U) factors through a finitely generated projective quotient, giving the map we require. We need to show that Γ is essentially surjective and fully faithful.

To see that Γ is essentially surjective, observe that an arbitrary projective E-module has the form $P = \bigoplus_i \prod_{\kappa_i}(M, M_i)$ by [11, §2.5]. But now

$$\Gamma(\bigoplus_i \prod_{\kappa_i} M_i) = (M, \bigoplus_i \prod_{\kappa_i} M_i) \cong \bigoplus_i \prod_{\kappa_i} (M, M_i) = P,$$

as required.

We demonstrate next that Γ is faithful. We need to show that if $\alpha : \prod U_i \to V$ is continuous and non-zero (V some other object of $\mathrm{Add}(M)$), then the corresponding map $\Gamma(\alpha) : (M, \prod U_i) \to (M, V)$ is non-zero. Consider the open subset $Y = V \backslash \{0\}$ of V. Then the inverse image X of Y under α is a non-empty (because $\alpha \neq 0$) open subset of $\prod U_i$. But if X is open then it contains an element x of the form (u_i) where u_i is 0 for almost all coordinates. Let the non-zero coordinates of x be $i_1, \ldots, i_n$. Now the map

$$U_{i_1} \oplus \cdots \oplus U_{i_n} \hookrightarrow \prod U_i \xrightarrow{\alpha} V$$

is non-zero since $\alpha(x) \neq 0$. Since this leftmost module is a direct sum (coproduct), the corresponding universal property gives that there must be some index (i_1, say) so that

$$U_{i_1} \hookrightarrow U_{i_1} \oplus \cdots \oplus U_{i_n} \hookrightarrow \prod U_i \xrightarrow{\alpha} V$$

is non-zero. We have a projection $M \twoheadrightarrow U_{i_1}$. Now

$$\Gamma(\alpha)(M \twoheadrightarrow U_{i_1} \to \prod U_i) \neq 0$$

by construction, and hence $\Gamma(\alpha) \neq 0$.

It remains to show that Γ is full. Given $\gamma : (M, U) \to (M, V)$, we need to find a continuous map $\alpha : U \to V$ such that $\gamma(-) = \alpha \circ -$. We split the work into two cases. Firstly, when R finite and secondly, when R is general. Write $U = \prod_i U_i$ with each $U_i \in \{M_1, \ldots, M_s\}$.

Case 1: Let V be an object of $\mathrm{add}(M)$, hence finite, and fix $\gamma : (M, \prod_i U_i) \to (M, V)$ continuous. Then (M, V) is finite since M is finitely generated, so the kernel of γ is an open subgroup of $(M, \prod_i U_i) \cong \prod_i (M, U_i)$. In particular, almost all (M, U_i) map to 0 under γ. Denote by $1, \ldots, m$ the indices that don't map to 0 under γ. Restricting γ to this finite sum, we have a map

$$\gamma' : \bigoplus_{1,\ldots,m} (M, U_i) \to (M, V).$$

Now, the version of the result for finitely generated modules (see eg. [12, Proposition 2.1]) tells us that there is a unique $\alpha' : \bigoplus_{1,\ldots,m} U_i \to V$ such that $\gamma' = \alpha' \circ -$. Extend α' to a continuous map $\alpha : \prod_i U_i \to V$ by setting it to be α' on $1, \ldots, m$ and 0 elsewhere. Now given $\rho = (\rho_i) \in \prod_i (M, U_i)$ we have

$$\gamma(\rho) = \prod_i \gamma(\rho_i) = \gamma'(\rho_1 + \cdots + \rho_m) + 0 = \alpha' \circ (\rho_1 + \cdots + \rho_m) + 0 = \alpha \circ \rho,$$

as required.

Next let V be an arbitrary object of $\mathrm{Add}(M)$, and write it as $V = \varprojlim_j \{V_j, \varphi_{jk}\}$, with the V_j objects of $\mathrm{add}(M)$. Again we consider some continuous homomorphism $\gamma : (M,U) \to (M,V)$. We have that $(M,V) \cong \varprojlim_j \{(M,V_j), \varphi_{jk} \circ -\}$. Fix some $\rho : M \to U$. Then $\varphi_j\gamma(-) : (M,U) \to (M,V_j)$, so by the previous paragraph we have a unique continuous map $\alpha_j : U \to V_j$ such that $\varphi_j \circ \gamma(-) = \alpha_j \circ -$. Note that for any $\rho : M \to U$ we have

$$\alpha_j\rho = \varphi_j\gamma(\rho) = \varphi_{jk}\varphi_k\gamma(\rho) = \varphi_{jk}\alpha_k\rho,$$

so that $\alpha_j = \varphi_{jk}\alpha_k$. That is, the α_j provide a map of inverse systems $U \to \{V_j, \varphi_{jk}\}$, and hence a unique continuous map $\alpha : U \to V$. But now $\gamma = \alpha \circ -$ because for all $\rho : M \to U$:

$$\gamma(\rho) = (\varphi_j\gamma(\rho)) = (\alpha_j\rho) = (\varphi_j\alpha\rho) = \alpha\rho.$$

This completes case 1.

Case 2: Let π generate the maximal ideal of R. We are given $\gamma : (M,U) \to (M,V)$. For each $n \in \mathbf{N}$, this induces a map $\gamma_n : (M/\pi^n M, U/\pi^n U) \to (M/\pi^n M, V/\pi^n V)$. By Case 1, $\gamma_n = \alpha_n \circ -$, for some continuous map $\alpha_n : U/\pi^n U \to V/\pi^n V$.

But we also have that $U \cong \varprojlim_n U/\pi^n U$ and $V \cong \varprojlim_n V/\pi^n V$. Let $n \leqslant m$ and note that the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} U/\pi^m U & \xrightarrow{\alpha_m} & V/\pi^m V \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ U/\pi^n U & \xrightarrow{\alpha_n} & V/\pi^n V \end{array}$$

commutes. It follows that the α_n are a map of inverse systems, so yield a unique continuous map $\alpha : U \to V$. This map has the property that $\gamma = \alpha \circ -$.

Theorem 2.2 *The categories* $\mathrm{Add}(M)$ *and* $\varprojlim \mathrm{add}(M)$ *are equivalent.*

Proof: Note that $E = \mathrm{End}_{RG}(M)$ is profinite because M is finitely generated. The inclusion $\mathrm{Add}(M) \to \varprojlim \mathrm{add}(M)$ is clearly fully faithful, so we need only check that it is essentially surjective. Let $U = \varprojlim_I U_i$ be an object of $\varprojlim \mathrm{add}(M)$. Apply the functor $\Gamma = \mathrm{Hom}_{RG}(M, -)$ to the inverse system for U to get an inverse system of projective right E-modules. The inverse limit is (M,U), which we observed in the previous proof is a projective right E-module. The theorem is now immediate from Proposition 2.1.

Corollary 2.3 *Let G be a finite p-group, R a profinite local commutative noetherian ring and let U be a profinite permutation RG-lattice. Then U can be expressed as a cartesian product of cyclic permutation modules*

$$U = \prod_{H \leqslant G} \prod_{\kappa_H} R[G/H],$$

where κ_H is a cardinal number.

Proof: There are finitely many isomorphism classes of indecomposable permutation modules (indexed by the conjugacy classes of subgroups of G), so the result follows from Theorem 2.2.

Some notation that will remain in force throughout this section. Given a finite group G with subgroup H, a commutative ring R and a profinite RH-module V, the profinite RG-module $V\uparrow^G$ is defined to be $RG\hat{\otimes}_{RH}V$, where G acts on the left factor as with the usual induced module, and where "$\hat{\otimes}$" denotes the completed tensor product [9, §5.5]. The restriction of the RG-module U to RH is denoted $U\downarrow_H$. When N is a normal subgroup of G and U is an RG-module, the $R[G/N]$-modules U^N, U_N denote, respectively, the submodule of N-invariants of U, and the module of N-coinvariants U/I_NU of U, where I_N is the kernel of the augmentation map $\varepsilon : RN \to R$.

Lemma 2.4 *Let N be a normal subgroup of the finite group G, R a profinite integral domain and U a profinite RG-module that is free as an RN-module. The map $\phi : U \to U^N$ defined by $\phi(u) := \sum_{n\in N} nu$ is an epimorphism of RG-modules with kernel I_NU. Thus $U^N \cong U_N$.*

Proof: The element $\sum_{n\in N} n$ belongs to the centre of RG, hence ϕ is a well-defined RG-module homomorphism. The kernel of ϕ clearly contains I_NU. We can check the other assertions after first restricting to N. Write $U\downarrow_N$ as a product of modules of the form RN, indexed by a set I . For a subset X of I let U_X be the subproduct of U indexed by X and when $Y \subseteq X$ are finite subsets of I, let $\rho_{XY} : U_Y \to U_X$ be the obvious projection. Restricting the inverse system $\{U_X, \rho_{XY}\}$ to U^N yields $U^N = \varprojlim_X \{(U_X)^N, \rho_{XY}\}$. For each finite X the obvious map $\phi_X : (U_X)_N \to (U_X)^N$ is the component at X of an isomorphism of inverse systems [5, Lemma 4], and hence ϕ is an isomorphism.

Let ζ be a primitive pth root of unity and $\mathbb{Z}_p(\zeta)$ the corresponding totally ramified extension of $\mathbb{Z}_p$ of degree $p-1$. Let π be a generator of the unique maximal ideal of $\mathbb{Z}_p(\zeta)$. The following may be viewed as a special case of a generalization of [13, Theorem 3] to infinitely generated modules.

Lemma 2.5 *Let G be a finite p-group and V a $\mathbb{Z}_p(\zeta)G$-lattice. Suppose that $V/\pi V$ is a free $\mathbb{F}_pG$-module. Then V is a free $\mathbb{Z}_p(\zeta)G$-module.*

Proof: Let $\phi : V \longrightarrow V/\pi V$ be the natural epimorphism. By [9, Proposition 2.2.2], ϕ admits a continuous section $\delta : V/\pi V \longrightarrow V$ with $\delta(\pi V) = 0$. Consider a profinite space Ω of free generators of $V/\pi V$ converging to 0. Put $\mathcal{X} = \delta(\Omega)$. Let A be a free pro-p $\mathbb{Z}_p(\zeta)G$-module on $\mathcal{X}$ and let $f : A \longrightarrow V$ be the $\mathbb{Z}_p(\zeta)G$-homomorphism induced by sending $\mathcal{X}$ identically to its copy in V. Then as a pro-p $\mathbb{Z}_p(\zeta)$-module, A is free pro-p on the basis $G\mathcal{X}$. Since $V/\pi V$ is a free $\mathbb{F}_pG$-module on Ω, it is a free $\mathbb{F}_p$-module on $G\Omega$.

Notice that as $\mathbb{Z}_p(\zeta)$-modules, the radicals of A, V respectively are $\pi A, \pi V$, and that the map $\bar{f} : A/\pi A \to V/\pi V$ is an isomorphism, so that f is an isomorphism by [14, Lemma 7.4.4], as required.

As in [13], a finitely generated generalized permutation $\mathbb{Z}_p(\zeta)G$-lattice is a finite direct sum of modules of the form $\varphi\uparrow^G$, where "φ" represents a rank one $\mathbb{Z}_p(\zeta)H$-lattice, H some subgroup of G, with action from H coming only from a group homomorphism $\varphi : H \to \langle\zeta\rangle$. A profinite generalized permutation $\mathbb{Z}_p(\zeta)G$-lattice is an inverse limit of finite rank permutation $\mathbb{Z}_p(\zeta)G$-lattices. Since G is finite, there are only finitely many isomorphism types of indecomposable finite rank generalized permutation $\mathbb{Z}_p(\zeta)G$-lattice. Thus, by Theorem 2.2, profinite generalized permutation $\mathbb{Z}_p(\zeta)G$-lattices are simply products of indecomposable finite rank generalized permutation $\mathbb{Z}_p(\zeta)G$-lattices.

We give one more technical lemma before proving the main result of this section.

Lemma 2.6 *Let W be a second countable profinite $\mathbb{F}_pG$-module that can be expressed as a product of finitely generated indecomposable submodules. Let $\prod_{s\in S}\prod_{\kappa_s} W_s$ and $\prod_{s\in S}\prod_{\nu_s} W_s$ be two continuous decompositions of W, where the W_s are pairwise distinct finitely generated indecomposable $\mathbb{F}_pG$-modules and κ_s, ν_s are cardinals. Then for each $s \in S$ we have $\kappa_s = \nu_s$.*

Proof: Fix s, suppose that $\kappa_s = n$ for some finite number n and suppose for contradiction that ν_s is strictly greater than n. Then, by considering the second decomposition, we obtain a continuous and split projection onto a product of $n+1$ copies of W_s. Let X be the kernel of this projection. Since X is open in W, we can find some cofinite subset I of the indexing set for the first decomposition such that the product Y indexed by I is contained in X. We obtain a continuous surjection $W/Y \to W/X$, which splits since the map $W \to W/X$ splits. Thus W/X is a direct summand of W/Y. But the former is a product of $n+1$ copies of W_s, while the latter has at most n direct summands isomorphic to W_s, contradicting the Krull-Schmidt theorem for finitely generated $\mathbb{F}_pG$-modules.

Meanwhile, if W_s appears infinitely many times in both compositions then $\kappa_s = \nu_s = \aleph_0$ since W is second countable.

Theorem 2.7 *Let G be a finite p-group and let U be a second countable profinite $\mathbb{Z}_pG$-lattice. Suppose that there is a central subgroup N of G of order p such that*

- *The restriction $U\downarrow_N$ is a free $\mathbb{Z}_pN$-module,*

- *U^N is a permutation $\mathbb{Z}_p[G/N]$-module*
- *U/U^N is a generalized permutation $\mathbb{Z}_p(\zeta)G$-lattice.*

Then U itself is a permutation $\mathbb{Z}_pG$-lattice.

Proof: Let $N = \langle n \rangle$. Consider the ideals $I_N = \ker(\varepsilon : \mathbb{Z}_pN \to \mathbb{Z}_p) = \langle n-1, n^2-1, \cdots, n^{p-1}-1 \rangle$ and $\mathbb{Z}_pN^N = (1+n+\cdots+n^{p-1})\mathbb{Z}_p$ of $\mathbb{Z}_pN$. As in [13], we have a pullback diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{Z}_pN & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{Z}_pN/\mathbb{Z}_pN^N \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathbb{Z}_pN/I & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{Z}_pN/(I+\mathbb{Z}_pN^N). \end{array}$$

Fix an isomorphism $\psi : N \to \langle \zeta \rangle$. Via ψ we have an isomorphism $Z_pN/Z_pN^N \cong \mathbb{Z}_p(\zeta)$, and the above pullback can be expressed as

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{Z}_pN & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{Z}_p(\zeta) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathbb{Z}_p & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{F}_p. \end{array}$$

Apply the functor $-\widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Z}_pN}U$ to the above diagram. Since $U\downarrow_N$ is free, we can write it as an inverse limit of finite rank free $\mathbb{Z}_pN$-modules. Since inverse limits are functorial and commute naturally with completed tensor products, the argument in [13] applied to the finite rank quotients shows that our diagram is an inverse limit of pullbacks, and is hence itself the pullback

$$\begin{array}{ccc} U & \longrightarrow & U/U^N \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ U_N & \longrightarrow & \overline{U_N} = \overline{U/U^N}. \end{array}$$

By Lemma 2.4 we have that $U_N \cong U^N$, so that U_N is a permutation module by assumption, and hence so too is $\overline{U_N}$.

Thus we have a permutation module U_N and a generalized permutation module U/U^N, which by Theorem 2.2 are products of indecomposable modules of the same type. The indexing sets of the indecomposable summands of these modules both biject naturally onto corresponding indexing sets of indecomposable summands of $\overline{U_N}$, and hence by Lemma 2.6 their indexing sets coincide. Now as in [13], we can write

$$U_N \cong \prod_r \mathbb{Z}_p\uparrow^G_{G_r},\ U/U^N \cong \prod_r \varphi_r\uparrow^G_{G_r}$$

where $\varphi_r : G_r \to \langle\zeta\rangle$ is a group homomorphism whose restriction to N is ψ. Let $H_r = \ker(\varphi_r)$. Again following [13] we obtain that

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{Z}_p\uparrow_{H_r}^G & \longrightarrow & \varphi_r\uparrow_{G_r}^G \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathbb{Z}_p\uparrow_{G_r}^G & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{F}_p\uparrow_{G_r}^G \end{array}$$

is a pullback. The product of these pullbacks as r varies is itself a pullback diagram, whose upper left component is the permutation module $L = \prod_r \mathbb{Z}_p\uparrow_{H_r}^G$. We want to show that $L \cong U$. The discussion above gives isomorphisms $h : L_N \to U_N$ and $f : L/L^N \to U/U^N$ (of $\mathbb{Z}_pG$ and $\mathbb{Z}_p(\zeta)G$-modules respectively). Let h', f' denote the isomorphisms $\overline{L_N} \to \overline{U_N}$ induced by h, f respectively, so that $\overline{h}^{-1}\overline{f}$ is an $\mathbb{F}_pG$-module automorphism of $\overline{L_N}$. By [11, Lemma 3.23] we can lift this automorphism to a $\mathbb{Z}_pG$-module endomorphism k of L_N. Since L_N is a free $\mathbb{Z}_p$-module, [14, 7.4.4, 2.5.2] tells us that k is an automorphism. Replace the isomorphism h by the isomorphism hk and note that $\overline{hk} = \overline{f}$. Now a diagram chase gives the required isomorphism $L \to U$, completing the theorem.

Proof: [of Theorem 1.2] Suppose first that N has order p. We maintain the notation from the proof of Theorem 2.7. The second hypothesis implies that $\overline{U^N}$ is a free $\mathbb{F}_pG$-module, and now Lemma 2.5 tells us that U/U^N is a free $\mathbb{Z}_p(\zeta)G$-lattice. The argument in Theorem 2.7 thus shows that U is a pullback of free modules (the second countable hypothesis is not required because we do not need to use Lemma 2.6). The result now follows immediately from the uniqueness of pullbacks.

We now proceed by induction on the order of N. Let K be a normal subgroup of G contained as a subgroup of index p in N. The module U_K has the properties that $U_K{\downarrow_{N/K}}$ and $(U_K)^{N/K} \cong U^N$ (see Lemma 2.4) are free $\mathbb{Z}_p[G/K]$-modules, so that U_K ($\cong U^K$) is free by the first paragraph. Since the order of K is less than the order of N, by induction we see that U is free.

3 HNN-embedding

For the convenience of the reader we recall some concepts and terminology from [6], adapting the definitions to the category of pro-p groups.

Definition 3.1 A *boolean* or *profinite* space is, by definition, an inverse limit of finite discrete spaces, i.e. a compact, Hausdorff, totally disconnected topological space. Morphisms in the category of boolean spaces are continuous maps.

A profinite space X with a profinite group G acting continuously on it will be called a *G-space*.

Definition 3.2 An inverse system of finite groups with projective limit G induces an inverse system of the sets of subgroups of the groups in the inverse system, whose limit is the space of closed subgroups of G. If G is virtually torsion free, the subspace $Fin(G)$ of non-trivial finite subgroups of G is closed, hence inherits a natural profinite topology (the *subgroup topology*). Equipped with this topology, $Fin(G)$ with G acting by conjugation becomes a G-space.

We recall the notion of a pro-p HNN-group as defined in [6] for the pro-$\mathcal{C}$ case.

Definition 3.3 A *sheaf* of pro-p groups (over a profinite space X) is a triple $(\mathcal{G}, \gamma, X)$, where $\mathcal{G}$ and X are profinite spaces, and γ is a continuous map from $\mathcal{G}$ onto X, satisfying the following two conditions:

(i) for every $x \in X$, the fiber $\mathcal{G}(x) = \gamma^{-1}(x)$ over x is a pro-p group;

(ii) if $\mathcal{G}^2$ denotes the subspace of $\mathcal{G} \times \mathcal{G}$ consisting of pairs (g, h) such that $\gamma(g) = \gamma(h)$, then the map $\mu_{\mathcal{G}} : \mathcal{G}^2 \longrightarrow \mathcal{G}$, defined by $\mu_{\mathcal{G}}(g, h) := g^{-1}h \in \mathcal{G}(\gamma(g)) = \mathcal{G}(\gamma(h)) \subseteq \mathcal{G}$, is continuous.

If there is no danger of confusion we shall write $(\mathcal{G}, X)$ instead of $(\mathcal{G}, \gamma, X)$.

A *morphism* of sheaves of pro-p groups $(\alpha, \bar{\alpha}) : (\mathcal{G}, \gamma, X) \to (\mathcal{H}, \eta, Y)$ is a pair of continuous maps $\alpha : \mathcal{G} \to \mathcal{H}$, $\bar{\alpha} : X \to Y$ such that the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{G} & \xrightarrow{\alpha} & \mathcal{H} \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle \gamma} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \eta} \\ X & \xrightarrow{\bar{\alpha}} & Y \end{array}$$

is commutative and, for all $x \in X$, the restriction $\alpha_x := \alpha_{|\mathcal{G}(x)}$ of α to the fiber $\mathcal{G}(x)$ is a homomorphism from $\mathcal{G}(x)$ to $\mathcal{H}(\bar{\alpha}(x))$.

In the special case when $Y = \{y\}$ consists of a single element set, we obtain with $H := \mathcal{H}(y)$ the definition of a *fiber morphism* $\alpha : \mathcal{G} \longrightarrow H$, of the sheaf $\mathcal{G}$ of pro-p groups to the pro-p group H. We shall say that α is a *fiber monomorphism* if α_x is injective for every $x \in X$.

The simplest example of a sheaf of pro-p groups is that of the *constant sheaf* $(G \times X, \mathrm{pr}_X, X)$, where G is some pro-p group and $\mathrm{pr}_X : G \times X \longrightarrow X$ is the projection. For every $x \in X$, the fiber $(G \times X)(x) = G \times \{x\}$ is isomorphic to G.

Definition 3.4 Given a sheaf $(\mathcal{G}, X)$ of pro-p groups, the pro-p product $G = \coprod_{x \in X} \mathcal{G}(x)$ is a pro-p group G together with a fiber homomorphism $\upsilon : (\mathcal{G}, X) \longrightarrow G$, having the following universal property: for every pro-p group K and every fiber homomorphism $\beta : (\mathcal{G}, X) \to K$, there exists a unique homomorphism

$$\omega : G \longrightarrow K,$$

such that $\beta = \omega\upsilon$.

When considering free pro-p products, we make frequent use of the following:

Theorem 3.5 *([9, Theorem 9.1.12] and [10, Theorem 4.2]] Let $G = \coprod_{i=1}^{n} G_i$ be a free profinite (pro-p) product. Then $G_i \cap G_j^g = 1$ for either $i \neq j$ or $g \notin G_j$.*

Every finite subgroup of G is conjugate to a subgroup of one of the factors G_i.

Next we state the pro-p analogue of the concept of an HNN-group, given in [6] for pro-$\mathcal{C}$-groups.

Definition 3.6 Let H be a pro-p group and $\partial_0, \partial_1 : (\mathcal{G}, T) \to H$ fiber monomorphisms. A specialization into K consists of a homomorphism $\beta : H \longrightarrow K$ and a continuous map $\beta_1 : T \longrightarrow K$ such that for all $t \in T$ and $g \in \mathcal{G}(t)$ the equality $\beta(\partial_0(h)) = \beta_1(t)^{-1}\beta(\partial_1(h))\beta_1(t)$ is valid. Such a specialization into K will be denoted $(\beta, \beta_1) : (H, \mathcal{G}, T) \to K$.

The pro-p HNN-group is then a pro-p group G together with a specialization $(\upsilon, \upsilon_1) : (H, \mathcal{G}, T) \longrightarrow G$, with the following universal property: for every pro-p group K and every specialization $(\beta, \beta_1) : (H, \mathcal{G}, T) \to K$, there exists a unique homomorphism

$$\omega : G \longrightarrow K,$$

such that $\omega\upsilon_1 = \beta_1$ and $\beta = \omega\upsilon$. We shall denote the pro-p HNN-group by $HNN(H, \mathcal{G}, T)$. The group H is called the *base group*, and elements $t \in T$ are called the *stable letters*.

Note that for T a singleton set, identifying $\mathcal{G}(t)$ with its image under ∂_0 and setting $f := \partial_1$, the definition of a pro-p-*HNN* extension given in [9, Section 9.4] is recovered. By [6, Proposition 9], the pro-p HNN-group $G = HNN(H, \mathcal{G}, T)$ exists and is unique.

A pro-p HNN-group is a special case of the fundamental pro-p group $\Pi_1(\mathcal{G}, \Gamma)$ of a profinite graph of pro-p groups $(\mathcal{G}, \Gamma)$ as introduced in [16]. Namely, a pro-p HNN-group can be thought as $\Pi_1(\mathcal{G}, \Gamma)$, where Γ is a connected profinite graph having just one vertex.

For the rest of this section let G be a second countable virtually free pro-p group, and fix an open free pro-p normal subgroup F of G of minimal index. Also suppose that the centralizer $C_F(t) = \{1\}$ for every torsion element $t \in G$. Let $K := G/F$ and form the free pro-p product $G_0 := G \amalg K$. Let $\psi : G \to K$ denote the canonical projection. It extends to an epimorphism $\psi_0 : G_0 \to K$, by sending $g \in G$ to $gF \in K$ and each $k \in K$ identically to k, and extending to G_0 using the universal property of the free pro-p product. Notice that the kernel L of ψ_0 is an open subgroup of G_0 and, since $L \cap G = F$ and $L \cap K = \{1\}$, the pro-p version of the Kurosh subgroup theorem [8, Theorem 4.3] tells us that L is free pro-p. By [9, Lemma 5.6.7] there exists a continuous section $Fin(G)/G \longrightarrow Fin(G)$. Define the subspace Σ of $Fin(G_0)$ to be the union of the image of this section with the subgroup K. Since by Theorem 3.5 all finite subgroups of G_0 can be conjugated either into G or into K, the natural map

$Fin(G_0) \longrightarrow Fin(G_0)/G_0$ restricted to Σ is a homeomorphism. Define a sheaf $(\mathcal{G}, \Sigma)$ by setting $\mathcal{G} = \{(g, S) \in G_0 \times \Sigma \mid g \in S\}$ and defining $\gamma : \mathcal{G} \longrightarrow \Sigma$ to be the restriction to $\mathcal{G}$ of the natural projection $G_0 \times \Sigma \longrightarrow \Sigma$. Define an equivalence relation on Σ by putting $S_1 \sim S_2$ if S_1 and S_2 are contained in the same maximal finite subgroup of G_0. This defines an equivalence relation since maximal finite subgroups have trivial intersection by [5, Lemma 9], and it is easy to see that $\sim$ is closed (indeed if K_1, K_2 are maximal finite subgroups containing S_1 and S_2 respectively and such that $K_1 \cap K_2 = 1$, then there is a finite quotient of G_0 where the images of K_1 and K_2 intersect trivially as well). Put $T = \Sigma/\sim$. Given $t \in T$, denote by K_t the unique maximal finite subgroup corresponding to t (i.e. if $S \in \Sigma$ is a representative of an equivalence class t then K_t is the unique maximal finite subgroup containing S). Let $(\mathcal{K}, T)$ be the subset of $G_0 \times T$ defined by $(\mathcal{K}, T) = \{(k, t) \mid k \in K_t, t \in T\}$.

Lemma 3.7 *$(\mathcal{K}, T)$ is a subsheaf of $G_0 \times T$.*

Proof: We show only that $\mathcal{K}$ is closed in $G_0 \times T$, since the rest follows easily. Let $(g, t) \in G_0 \times T$ be such that $g \notin K_t$. Note that the set of non-trivial torsion elements is closed in G_0, because they are of bounded order and can not have 1 as an accumulation point since G is virtually torsion-free. Therefore there is an open torsion free subgroup U such that $(gU \times T) \cap \mathcal{K} = \emptyset$ as needed.

With notation as above we define the pro-p HNN-group $\tilde{G} = HNN(G_0, \mathcal{K}, T)$ by setting ∂_0 to be the fiber homomorphism that sends each K_t identically to its copy in G and setting $\partial_1(k_t) = \psi(k_t)$ for every $k_t \in K_t$. Here G_0 is the base group, the K_t are associated subgroups, and T is a set of stable letters in the sense of Definition 3.6.

The objective of this section is to show that the centralizers of torsion elements of $\tilde{G}$ are finite. It was already proved in [6, Theorem 12] that G embeds into $\tilde{G}$ and that the torsion elements of $\tilde{G}$ are conjugate to elements of K (in fact, [6, Theorem 12] has a slightly different statement, namely that the space T is a subspace of $Fin(G)$, but this was not used in the proof). Thus, in the following lemma we need to prove only item (iv), since items (i)-(iii) are the subject of the proof of [6, Theorem 12].

Lemma 3.8 *Let $\tilde{G} = HNN(G_0, K_t, \phi_t, T)$ be as explained above, and let $\tilde{F}$ be the kernel of the map $\tilde{G} \to K$ induced from the universal property of pro-p HNN groups – an open normal free pro-p subgroup of $\tilde{G}$. Then*

(i) G_0 and therefore G canonically embed in $\tilde{G}$.

(ii) $\tilde{G} = \tilde{F} \rtimes K$ is a semidirect product.

(iii) In $\tilde{G}$ every finite subgroup is conjugate to a subgroup of K.

(iv) $C_{\tilde{F}}(g) = 1$ for every torsion element $g \in \tilde{G}$.

Proof: (iv) There is a standard pro-p tree $S := S(\tilde{G})$ associated to $\tilde{G} := HNN(G_0, K_t, \phi_t, T)$ on which $\tilde{G}$ acts naturally such that the vertex stabilizers are conjugates of G_0 and each edge stabilizer is a conjugate of some K_t (cf. [10] and [16, §3]).

Claim: Let e_1, e_2 be two edges of S with a common vertex v which is not the terminal vertex of both of them. Then the intersection of the stabilizers $\tilde{G}_{e_1} \cap \tilde{G}_{e_2}$ is trivial.

By translating e_1, e_2, v if necessary we may assume that G_0 is the stabilizer of v. Then we have two cases:

1) v is the initial vertex of e_1 and e_2. Then $\tilde{G}_{e_1} = K_t^g$ and $\tilde{G}_{e_2} = K_{t'}^{g'}$ with $g, g' \in G_0$ and either $t \neq t'$ or $g \notin K_t g'$ and by construction of $\tilde{G}$ we have $K_t^g \neq K_{t'}^{g'}$ if $t \neq t'$. Suppose that $K_t^g \cap K_{t'}^{g'} \neq \{1\}$. Then, since $G_0 = G \amalg K$, we may apply Theorem 3.5, in order to deduce the existence of $g_0 \in G_0$ with $K_t^{gg_0} \cap K_{t'}^{g'g_0} \leq G_0$. Now by [5, Lemma 9] two maximal finite subgroups of G_0 have trivial intersection. So we have $K_t^g \cap K_{t'}^{g'} = \{1\}$, as needed.

2) v is the terminal vertex of e_1 and the initial vertex of e_2. Then $\tilde{G}_{e_1} = K^g$ and $\tilde{G}_{e_2} = K_t^{g'}$ for $g, g' \in G_0$ so they intersect trivially by the definition of G_0 and Theorem 3.5. So the claim holds.

Now pick a torsion element $x \in \tilde{G}$ and a non-trivial element $f \in \tilde{F}$ with $x^f = x$. Let e be an edge of S stabilized by x. Then fe is also stabilized by x and, since by [10, Theorem 3.7] the fixed set S^x is a subtree, the path $[e, fe]$ is fixed by x as well. Note that a common vertex of e and fe (if it exists) can be terminal to only one of them, since f can not stabilize any vertex. So $[e, fe]$ has at least one vertex which is initial for its incident edge. Thus by the claim $x = 1$.

4 Proof of the main theorem

We require the following before turning to the key proposition.

Lemma 4.1 *Let G be a semidirect product of a free pro-p group F with a finite p-group K such that every torsion element is conjugate to a subgroup of K. Then*

(i) $G = (K_G) \rtimes F_0$, where K_G is the normal closure of K in G and F_0 is free pro-p.

(ii) The natural homomorphism $G \longrightarrow F_0$ induces a natural epimorphism $M = F/[F,F] \longrightarrow F_0/[F_0, F_0]$ of $\mathbb{Z}_p K$-modules with kernel $I_K M$.

Proof: For any group X we denote by $\mathrm{Tor}(X)$ the set of torsion elements of X. By [15, Proposition 1.7] $G/\langle \mathrm{Tor}(G) \rangle$ is free pro-p, so we can fix a section F_0 of $G/\langle \mathrm{Tor}(G) \rangle$ in G, proving (i). In fact, we can choose F_0 to live inside F,

as we may since the restriction of $G \longrightarrow G/\langle \mathrm{Tor}(G)\rangle$ to F is an epimorphism. Thus we can write $F/[F,F] = F_0/[F_0,F_0] \oplus L$ as a direct sum of $\mathbb{Z}_p$-modules, for some $\mathbb{Z}_p$-submodule L. We claim that a profinite $\mathbb{Z}_p$-basis of $F_0/[F_0,F_0]$ is a profinite free $\mathbb{Z}_pK$-basis of U. Again note that $\langle \mathrm{Tor}(G)\rangle$ coincides with the normal closure K_G of K in G. Thus we have the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} G & \longrightarrow & G/K_G \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \bar{G} = F/[F,F] \rtimes K & \longrightarrow & \bar{G}/K_{\bar{G}} \end{array}$$

of natural epimorphisms. The lower horizontal map restricted to $F/[F,F]$ has kernel $[F/[F,F],K]$, which coincides with I_KU (I_K the augmentation ideal of $\mathbb{Z}_pK$) when we view $U = F/[F,F]$ as a $\mathbb{Z}_pK$-module.

Proposition 4.2 *Let G be a semidirect product of a free pro-p group F with a finite p-group K such that every torsion element is conjugate to a subgroup of K. Suppose that $C_F(t) = \{1\}$ holds for every torsion element $t \in G$. Then $G = K \amalg F_0$ for a free pro-p factor F_0.*

Proof: Suppose that the proposition is false. Then there is a counter-example with K having minimal order. By [15, Theorem 1.2], when K has order p we have that $G = \coprod_{x\in X}(C_p \times H_x) \amalg H_0$, where H_x, H_0 are free pro-p, therefore the proposition is satisfied, and so we can suppose that K is of order at least p^2.

Let H be a central subgroup of K of order p. Then $F \rtimes H$ satisfies the premises of the proposition and hence $F \rtimes H$ is of the form $H \amalg F_1$ for some free factor F_1. Let us denote by bar passing to the quotient modulo the normal closure H_G of H in G. By [15, Proposition 1.7] $\bar{F}$ is free pro-p and $\overline{\mathrm{Tor}(G)} = \mathrm{Tor}(\bar{G})$. It follows that $\bar{G} = \bar{F} \rtimes (K/H)$ and every torsion element in $\bar{G}$ can be lifted to a conjugate of an element in K. So every torsion element in $\bar{G}$ is conjugate to an element of $\bar{K} = K/H$ and we deduce from Theorem 3.5 that $H_G = \langle \mathrm{Tor}(FH)\rangle$. Thus by the minimality of K we have

$$\bar{G} = \bar{K} \amalg \bar{F}_0. \tag{1}$$

for some free pro-p group $\bar{F}_0$.

Consider $U := F/[F,F]$ as a $\mathbb{Z}_pK$-module and let I_H denote the augmentation ideal of $\mathbb{Z}_pH$. Since $F \rtimes H = H \amalg F_1 = \left(\coprod_{h\in H} F_1^h\right) \rtimes H$, H acts by permuting the free factors F_1^h, so that U is a free $\mathbb{Z}_pH$-module. Passing in Eq.(1) to the quotient modulo the commutator subgroup of $\bar{F} = (F_0)_{\bar{G}}$, one can see using Lemma 4.1 (ii) that U/I_HU is a free $\mathbb{Z}_p\bar{K}$-module. Now an application of Lemma 2.4 and Theorem 1.2 shows that U itself is a free $\mathbb{Z}_pK$ lattice.

By part (ii) of Lemma 4.1, a closed free basis of $F_0/[F_0,F_0]$ is a free $\mathbb{Z}_pK$ basis of U; this follows from the fact that a closed subset of U is a free $\mathbb{Z}_pK$-basis if and only if its image in U/I_KU is a free $\mathbb{Z}_p$-basis.

Consider $\tilde{G} := K \amalg \tilde{F}_0$ with $\tilde{F}_0 \cong G/\langle \mathrm{Tor}(G) \rangle$, and define a homomorphism $\phi : \tilde{G} \to G$ by sending K to K, $\tilde{F}_0$ to F_0 and extending to $\tilde{G}$ using the universal property of the free pro-p product. The images under the Frattini quotient of K and F_0 together generate the image of G (since the image of K is equal to the image of $\langle \mathrm{Tor}(G) \rangle$), and hence G is generated by K and F_0. Thus ϕ is an epimorphism. Let $\tilde{F} = \phi^{-1}(F)$, and note that as the subgroup $\tilde{F}$ of $\tilde{G}$ is the kernel of a homomorphism onto K that restricts to the identity on K, we have $\tilde{G} = \tilde{F} \rtimes K$. Since $\tilde{F} \rtimes K = K \amalg \tilde{F}_0 = \left(\coprod_{k \in K} \tilde{F}_0^k \right) \rtimes K$, K acts by permuting the free factors $\tilde{F}_0^k$, so that $\tilde{F}/[\tilde{F}, \tilde{F}]$ is a free $\mathbb{Z}_p K$-module with basis the image of the basis of $\tilde{F}_0$ in $\tilde{F}/[\tilde{F}, \tilde{F}]$. On the other hand from the paragraphs above we know that U is a free module with basis the image of the basis of F_0 in U. Therefore the kernel of ϕ must be contained in $[\tilde{F}, \tilde{F}]$. But since $\tilde{F}$ and F are free this implies $\tilde{F} \cap \ker \phi = \{1\}$. Since $K \cap \ker \phi = \{1\}$, we conclude that ϕ is an isomorphism, as required.

Proof: [of Theorem 1.1] First form $\tilde{G}$ as described before Lemma 3.8, in order to embed G into a group $\tilde{G}$ whose finite subgroups have finite centralizers (by Lemma 3.8), and, moreover, which has a single conjugacy class of maximal finite subgroups. By Proposition 4.2 the group $\tilde{G}$ is of the form $\tilde{G} = K \amalg F_0$ where K is finite and F_0 is free pro-p. One now deduces the result from the pro-p version of the Kurosh subgroup theorem [8, Theorem 4.3].

5 Acknowledgments

We express a big thank you to Peter Symonds, whose input has been of great help to this project.

References

[1] Z. Chatzidakis, Some remarks on profinite HNN extensions, Isr. J. Math. **85**, No.1-3, (1994) 11-18.

[2] I. Efrat, Valuations, Orderings, and Milnor K-Theory, Mathematical Surveys and Monographs V. 124, 2006.

[3] I. Efrat, On virtually projective groups, Michigan Math. J. (3) **42** (1995) 435–447.

[4] D. Haran, On the cohomological dimension of Artin-Schreier structures, J. Algebra (1) **156** (1993) 219–236.

[5] W.N. Herfort and P.A. Zalesskii, Virtually free pro-p groups whose torsion elements have finite centralizers, Bull. London Math. Soc. 2008 **40** (2008) 929–936.

[6] W.N. Herfort and P.A. Zalesskii, Profinite HNN-constructions, J. Group Theory **10**(6) (2007) 799–809.

[7] W.N. Herfort and P.A. Zalesskii, Cyclic extensions of free pro-p groups, J. Algebra 216 (1999), no. 2, 511-547.

[8] O.V. Melnikov, Subgroups and Homology of Free Products of Profinite Groups, Math. USSR Izvestiya, **34**, 1, (1990), 97-119.

[9] L. Ribes and P.A. Zalesskii, Profinite Groups, Springer 2010.

[10] L. Ribes and P.A. Zalesskii, Pro-p Trees, *New Horizons in pro-p Groups* (eds M du Sautoy, D. Segal and A. Shalev), Progress in Mathematics 184 (Birkhäuser, Boston, 2010).

[11] P.A. Symonds, Permutation Complexes for Profinite Groups, Commentarii Mathematici Helvetici, (2007), **82**, 1-37.

[12] P.A. Symonds, Structure Theorems Over Polynomial Rings, *Advances in Mathematics* (2007), **208**, 408-421.

[13] A. Weiss , Rigidity of p-adic p-torsion, Ann. of Math. (2) 127 (1988) 317-332.

[14] J.S. Wilson. Profinite Groups. Oxford Science Publications 1998.

[15] P.A. Zalesskii, On virtually projective groups, *J. für die Reine und Angewandte Mathematik (Crelle's Journal)* 572 (2004) 97–110.

[16] P.A. Zalesskii and O.V. Melnikov, Fundamental Groups of Graphs of Profinite Groups, *Algebra i Analiz* **1** (1989); translated in: *Leningrad Math. J.* **1** (1990), 921-940.